

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/905,043 | 07/13/2001 | Aseem Kumar Srivastava | 01-SM5-216 | 2232 |
| 23413 7: | 590 10/29/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| CANTOR COLBURN, LLP | | | HASSANZADEH, PARVIZ | |
| 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 5 2001111122 | , 01 00002 | | 1763 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|----|--|--|--|
| Advisorv Action | Advisory Action Cambridge Parviz Cambridge Parviz Parv | SRIVASTAVA ET AL. | | | | |
| ,, , | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Parviz Hassanzadeh | 1763 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee may be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under | | | | | | |
| 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje | the final Office action; or (2) as set forth inction, even if timely filed, may reduce any | in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal (| period set forth in of the appeal. | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | ; | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendmer | nt | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | r reconsideration has been cons | sidered but does NOT place the | : | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 15-19</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21-32. | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disap | proved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | · | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | P. Harranzadef | | | | |
| | | Parviz Hassanzadeh Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763 | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/905,043

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: amended claims now include a "grounded" baffle plate assembly which requires further search in the art.